Annexure 3 Third Party Quality Monitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY						
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore					
Date of Visit	13-12-2018					
Current Visit No.	1 st					
Date of Previous visit	Nil					

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Prades	sh			
2	Name of the City:	Siddharthna	gar			
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 65 23 rd CSMC 21-06-20		DUS under PMAY		
4	Project Code:	0900751201	4N0			
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Shohrat Garh				
6	Location of the Project	Wards of UL	В			
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New Co	onstruction)		
8	No. of Houses in the project	65				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	19/12/2016				
	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total
10		(in Lakhs) (in Lakhs) (in Lakhs)		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs	
		97.5	65.0	0.0	64.6	227.1
11	Date of sanction of 1st installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	24-03-2018				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled Actual				tual
	Date of Commencement		-03-2018		08-04	-2018
14	Date of Completion		cheduled		Expected	
			-03-2019		08-04	-2019
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	Rajeev Kuma	r		91110	08975
10	(with contact detail) :	Abhinav Panc	ley		94253	00912
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Sarvesh			94531	.34066
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed	with Photo	os		
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

Innovative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory

Annexure 3 Third Party Quality Monitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY					
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore				
Date of Visit	13-12-2018				
Current Visit No.	1 st				
Date of Previous visit	Nil				

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Prades	sh				
2	Name of the City:	Siddharthna	gar				
3	Name of Project:	Construction 29 th	n of CSMC	77 27-12-20	DUS under	PMAY	
4	Project Code:	0900752121	09007521214N0				
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Shohrat Garh					
6	Location of the Project	Wards of UL	LΒ				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New C	onstruction	n)			
8	No. of Houses in the project	77					
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	27/12/2017					
	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total	
10		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	
		115.5	77.0	0.0	100.1	292.6	
11	Date of sanction of 1st installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	31-01-2017					
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs					
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled			Ac	tual	
10	Date of commencement		-01-2017		15-02-2017		
14	Date of Completion		cheduled		Expected		
			-01-2018		15-02-2018		
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	Rajeev Kuma			9111008975		
	(with contact detail) :	Abhinav Pan	dey		94253	300912	
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present					
17	Name of ULB representative present	Sarvesh			9453134066		
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed	l with Phot	tos			
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes					
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes					
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No					
22	Any other comment	No					

	Reference duide for Tillid Party Quality Monitoring-2017
Third Party Quality M	Annexure 3 onitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	13-12-2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Prades	h			
2	Name of the City:	Siddharthn	agar			
3	Name of Project:				DUS under PMAY 12-2016	
4	Project Code:	0980	1140014N			
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Shohrat Garh				
6	Location of the Project	Wards of UL	В			
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New Co	onstruction)		
8	No. of Houses in the project	73				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	19/12/2	2016			
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share State share ULB/IA share (in Lakhs) (in Lakhs) (in Lakhs)		Beneficiary share	Total	
10				(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	
11	Date of sanction of 1 _{st} installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	109.5 17.4 0.0 24-03-2018			0.0	126.9
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled			Act	tual
15	Date of Commencement	24	-03-2018		08-04-2018	
14	Date of Completion		cheduled		Expected	
			-03-2019		08-04-2019	
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name) (with contact detail):	Rajeev Kumar			9111008975	
10		Abhinav Pand	ley		94253	00912
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Sarvesh			94531	34066
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed	with Photo	os		
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

. G	QUALITY ASSURANCE IN THE PROJECT	
1	Whether ULB/ State have organized workshop/ training programs to educate the beneficiaries about quality, construction methods, adherence to disaster resistant technologies etc.	NO .
2	Whether testing facilities to check quality of material is available?	No, there is no facility
3	Whether regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are being done from accredited labs also?	Not conducted
4	(i) Whether the structural Designs are approved / Proof checked by competent Authority	NO
	(ii) Name of the approving authority for structural design.	NO
	(iii) Whether the statuary certificate for disaster resistance design and compliance of codal provision isendorsed by the structural designer on the structural drawings fit for execution, Specially in case of multistoried construction.	NO
	(iv) The work is being executed as per the approved drawings fit for execution.	NO
5	Whether the Inspection Registers, Site order book and Quality control Test registers are maintained and endorsed by the Engineer–in-charge?	Not availeble
6	Whether cement, steel, aggregates etc. being used in the work, got tested before use?	No
7	Whether manufacturer test certificate for cement, steel, pipes etc. have been obtained with supply and records are being maintained?	NA
8	Whether Cement is free from lumps and is stacked properly to prevent contact with moisture?	Yes
9	Whether soil investigations of the site proper have been done before the structural design and soil parameters have been accounted for in the structural design/drawings? If yes, attach copy of soil investigation report.	No, Soil investigations have been done

	Reference Guide for T	Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
10	Whether centering /shuttering is checked for staging & propping, line & level, dimensions, cleaning etc. and its quality approved before each stage and record maintained.	No centering /shuttering is checked for staging & propping, line & level, dimensions cleaning etc.
11	Specific control on RCC work like: mixing by full bag capacity hopper fed mixer, control of slump, placing/compaction with vibrator. (proportioning with boxes not permitted)	Mannual mixing with hand compaction
12	Whether cement register is maintained and checked at site. Comment on method of stacking.	No cement register have been maintained and the work has been carried out on petty purchases.
13	Whether concrete mix is nominal or design mix? (Nominal mix not permitted for quality concrete).	Nominal mix has being used
14	What is the Mode of Concrete mixing (batch mix/manual)? In case of manual mixing, whether mixing is by weight or by volume of ingredients? (Volumetric mixing not permitted).	Volumetric mannual mixing has been used.
15	Whether Mixer/Vibrator as specified is available at site with adequate means to run them during concreting?	NA
16	Whether Surface of reinforcement is clean and free from rust?	NO
17	Whether potable water is used for construction?	YES
18	Whether proper water / cement ratio has been ensured?	NA
19	Whether the concrete being cured adequately as per requirements?	YES
20	Whether bricks are well burnt, of rectangular shape, with sharp edges, free from cracks and of correct size?	YES
21	Whether	
	i) Execution of Depth & width of Foundation is as per drawing/ adequate & bottom levelled	Adequate
	ii) Plinth height is as per drawing/ adequate	Adequate
	iii) Horizontal bands (as applicable) have been provided	Provided
22	Whether quality has been checked by TPQMA/ State agency? If yes, details there of ?	YES, ULB staff has been checked. During visit found Satisfactory.

3	a	ø	
	:3		

	- Housing for All Mission	
23	Quality of work and workmanship, Comments on	
(i)	RCC work (concrete, Reinforcement detailing, cover to reinforcement). Whether Columns are in plumb (check for verticality)	Jointing and RCC bands are partially provided
(ii)	Masonry (Joint details, verticality). Check adequate RCC bands are provided.	Masonary work is good.
(iii)	Shuttering (Type of material-pucca/ katcha, support spacing)	Steel shuttering are mainly used but somewhere wooden shuttering are also used with steel shuttering.
(iv)	Bar bending and stirrups bending , placement and cover to reinforcement bars.	Adequate
(v)	Plastering	Satisfactory
(vi)	Doors and windows	Satisfactory
(vii)	Seepage, if any	Not found
(viii)	Cracks, if any	NA
(ix)	Honey combing, if any	NO
(x)	Any other	Satisfactory
24	Whether provision has been made for Service lines (Electrical, Water Supply,Sanitation etc.)	Yes
25	Whether floor slope (especially) in bath,WC, kitchen, terrace and balcony etc. are proper?	Yes
26	Whether adequate plinth height (above the general ground level) is provided to the ground floor of the building to avoid possibility of rainwater and reptiles in the building.	Yes
27	Whether dampness/leakages noticed? If yes, state location and probable reasons.	No dampness found
28	Whether remedial measures are undertaken by IA/ ULB to stop Dampness & Leakages if any?	Instruction has been given during visit.
29	Whether disaster resistant features have been incorporated?	The construction is being carried by beneficiaries and quality of construction
30	Any other comments	No
31	Report on Overall assessment of quality	The inspected sites are in order and construction is being carried out by beneficiaries themselves . The construction quality is found satisfactory.



1	Numbers of houses sanctioned in the Project		65	77	73	
2	Numbers of houses Completed		5	4		
3	Numbers of houses in progress		60	73	66	
4	Stages of Progress:					
i)	Foundation level (Nos.)		26	21	20	
ii)	Plinth level (Nos.)		20	0	(
iii)	Lintel level (Nos.)	0		50	(
iv)	Roof level (Nos.)		0	0	46	
v)	Finishing level (Nos.)	14		2	C	
5	Numbers of houses not started	0		0	C	
6	Reason for non-starter of houses, if any	Surrendred				
7	Numbers of houses occupied by the Beneficiaries	5		4	7	
8	Reasons for Non-occupation of houses (If any)- specific reasons to be given					
		Sanctioned		As per Implementation		
9	Size of Unit (Carpet Area)	Proposed avg. 30 sq.m		Most of the houses are 30 sqm		
10	Overall physical progress of Housing (in %)		74	54	74	
11	Whether the progress of houses has been ensured through geo-tagged photographs at various construction level?	Yes				
12	Whether DBT of fund to individual beneficiary is ensured in the project?	Yes				
13	Whether the building plan(s) conform to NBC norms?	Yes				
14	Whether authenticated building plans and revisions if any, are available?	Yes				
15	Deviation, if any	No				
16	Any other comment	No				
D. C	IVIC INFRASTRUCTURE					
1	Whether provision of Civic infrastructure components (i.e. water supply, Sewerage, Drainage, Roads, SWM etc.) has been ensured?	Yes				

	Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Urban) - Housing for All Mission			
2	Whether the Existing/proposed infrastructure components are independent and/or integrated with city level infrastructure?	NA		
3	Any other comments	No		
E. S	OCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE			
1	Whether provision of Social Amenities (i.e. School, Anganwadi, Health centre, Community centre, Livelihood centre etc.) has been ensured?	Yes		
2	Any other comments	No		
F. F	INANCIAL PROGRESS & FUND UTILIZATION (R	s. in Lakhs)		
1	Sanctioned fund for entire project.	227.1	292.6	126.9
2	Amount of funds received up to date	65.0	77.0	73.0
3	Up-to date Expenditure	65.0	77.0	73.0
4	Amount of funds Utilized (out of received)	65.0	77.0	73.0
5	Amount of Expenditure by ULB	Nil		
6	Amount of Expenditure by Beneficiaries	Construction work is being done by beneficiaries		
7	Balance funds Expected/due from stakeholders	65.0	77.0	73.0
	OST VARIATION and TIME-OVERRUNS			
Cost	variation:			
1	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for B	LC works	
Time	Overruns:			
1	Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for B	LC works	
	EMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRES	S AND QUALIT	Υ	
Unde	ertaken by Implementation agency to improve the:			
1	Physical Progress	74% 54%	77%	
2	Financial Progress	Timely payment of i		nust be
3	Quality management	Supervision from the improved for quality	e side of PM	C need to be
4	Comments and suggestions of TPQMA on above	Regular visit in ward It must be checked t material must be use	d must be ass hat good qua	lity of
I. C	OURT CASES AND LITIGATIONS			
1	(To be specified)	Nil		

		Inird Party Quality Monitoring-2017
J. C	THERS	
1	Any innovative/ cost effective/ green technology has been used	No
2	Feedback of beneficiaries regarding provision of Physical & Social infrastructure:	Satisfactory
3	Reasons for delay in completion of housing and Infrastructure, if any:	Work in progress
4	Any specific observation by the beneficiary	No
5	Overall observation on the project (with adequate photographs covering project to a large extent including quality issues as applicable)	Overall Progress of the project is satisfactory
	Critical observations	Nil
	2. Action suggested by TPQMA to SLNA and IA	Nil
6	Action taken report on previous report: i. Observations of TPQMA	
	ii Action suggested by TPQMA iii Action taken by Beneficiaries/ IA (Implementation Agency) iv Whether TPQMA is satisfied with the action taken	This is first visit.
7	TPQMA's Overview of the Project (in 400-500 words) in a separate sheet	The overall project progress is good, and management need to pay attention on the timely payment of 2nd stage, the construction is being carried by beneficiaries themselves and guidance on construction techniques is being provided by PMC. Overall work is satisfactory.



Innovative Cadd Center For Denovative CADD Centre Indore,

S.No	Benf. Name	Father/Husband Name	
1	Abdul Salam	Abdul Hak	
2 AJAMTUN NISHA KAUSAR		KAUSAR	
3	AMARJEET	BIDDHU	
4	AMARNATH SINGH	UPENDRA SINGH	
5	ANDIP KUMAR	BHAGWANDEEN	
6	anita devi	KAILASH	
7	ARJUN PRASAD	RAM VRICH	
8	ASHT BHUJA	RAMCHARITRA	
9	9 Asrafoon nisha Liyakat ali		
10 Bahraichi Sri Ram		Sri Ram	
11	BAIJNATH	HEERA LAL	
12	BALDEV	RAM DEV	
13	BANGALI	JHAKADI	
14	BHANMATI	DILEEP	
15	BILKISH JAHAN	HAFIZULLAH	
16	CHANDRAKALA SONKAR	LATE SALIKRAM	
17 CHANDRAVATI LAUTU		LAUTU	
18	CHHOHARA DEVI	RAJBALI	
19	CHOTE LAL	VANSHRAJ	
20	DARGAHI	RAM DULARE	
21	21 DAYARAM BENI		
22	22 DEBA LATE SHYAM LAL SONKA		
23 DHARMENDRA KUMAR		RAM DEV PRASAD	
24	DHIRENDRA KUMAR	SURESH CHANDRA	
25	DHODAI RAM	RAMDEEN	
26	DHARMAWATI	ASHARAM	
27	FAIZ AHAMAD	LATE MOHAMMAD HANEEF	
28	FATMA	LATE BECHAN	
29	GIRJA DEVI	МОТІ	
30	30 GITA DEVI VIPAT		
31 GUDDI DEVI DULARE		DULARE	
33	GULAM KHWAZA GILAM MOHAMMAD		
34	GYAN PATI	JHAGRU	
35	HARUN NISHA	RAMJAN	

37	HEMLATA	SWARGIYE CHOTE LAL
38	INDRAWATI DEVI	LATE OM PRAKASH
39	INDRAWATI	MEHILAL
40	INDRAJEET	SHYAM LAL
41	JAGDEESH	SHYAM LAL
42	Jahidunnisha	Rustam Ali
43	jahirunnisha	imam baksh
44	JAY GOPAL PANDEY	BALRAM PRASAD PANDEY
45	JUBEDA KHATUN	SAFI MOHAMMAD
46	KAMAL	HIYAT ALI
47	kamar jahan	mohammad aslam
48	KAUSHILYA	RAM CHANDAR
49	Khursheed Ahmad	Late Abdul Razzaq
50	KIRAN	ANIL







